

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6489 OF 2005

Maharashtra Rajya Suraksha Rakshak
& General Kamgar Union ..Petitioner.
Vs.

Security Guards Board for Gr.
Bombay & Thane District & Ors. ..Respondents.

....

Mr.S.S.Pakale for the Petitioner.
None for the Respondents.

...

CORAM : F.I.REBELLO, J. AND

DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.

2nd March, 2006.

P.C. :

Rule. Heard forthwith.

Respondent No.3 the agency has not been served. Respondent Nos.1 and 2 have been served. As per the Court's record, no appearance put up on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 today. In view of the orders passed in similar petitions, the present Petition can be disposed of by issuing the

following directions :

- i) Respondent No.2 to apply to Respondent No.1 for registration within four weeks from today. On failure to do so, Respondent No.1 to take steps against Respondent No.2 according to law;
- ii) The Petitioner in respect of employees at Exh.1 to the Petition to file an application for registration before Respondent No.1 within two weeks from today. On such an application being filed, Respondent No.1 to consider the same and dispose it of within four weeks thereafter;
- iii) In the meantime, Respondent No.2 not to terminate the services of the employees at Exh.A and Respondent No.1 to register the said employees and then as far as possible to allot them to Respondent No.2.

Rule made absolute accordingly. There shall be no order as to costs.

(F.I.REBELLO, J.)

(DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.)